# What drives superconductivity in Pt-doped *IrTe*<sub>2</sub>?

## Kui Jin & Kai Liu

#### **Science Bulletin**

ISSN 2095-9273 Volume 60 Number 8

Sci. Bull. (2015) 60:822 DOI 10.1007/s11434-015-0775-2





Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be self-archived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your article, please use the accepted manuscript version for posting on your own website. You may further deposit the accepted manuscript version in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later and provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The final publication is available at link.springer.com".



Research Highlight

### What drives superconductivity in Pt-doped IrTe<sub>2</sub>?

Kui Jin · Kai Liu

Published online: 3 April 2015 © Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

The emergency of superconductivity at the edge of charge density wave (CDW) state by pressure or chemical doping in 1T transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) has attracted much attention in past decade [1, 2]. The interplay of CDW and superconductivity has triggered the hot debate: why does superconductivity arise after suppressing the CDW and what's the driving force of the charge modulation? For the 5d transition metal ditelluride IrTe<sub>2</sub>, a layered compound with trigonal symmetry, previous studies have shown an ordered superstructure below  $\sim 280$  K, and a superconducting state when doped or intercalated with Pd or Pt [3, 4]. In IrTe<sub>2</sub>, the Fermi surface nesting has been excluded as the impetus of superstructure; instead, splitting of Te orbitals by the crystal field [5] or destabilization of polymeric Te-Te networks was proposed [6], evident from the visualized periodic dimerization of Te atoms by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [7]. Meanwhile, a charge disproportionated CDW by covalent bonding Ir dimers was also presented [8]. However, though the origin of the superstructure formation becomes more and more clear, the underlying mechanism of the appearance of superconductivity by doping Pd or Pt is still obscure.

Recently, Ruan et al. [9] have studied the structural phase transition and electronic structure evolution in pure and Pt-doped IrTe<sub>2</sub> single crystals using low-temperature STM combined with first-principles calculations. The authors presented a clear evidence for a commensurate 1/6 charge modulation as the ground state of high-quality IrTe<sub>2</sub> single crystals, consistent with previous reports [7, 10]. By substituting Pt for Ir, the system exhibits long-range ordered 1/5 phase and then goes to a new threefold quasi-

K. Jin (🖂)

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China e-mail: kuijin@iphy.ac.cn

K. Liu (⊠) Department of Physics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China e-mail: kliu@ruc.edu.cn periodic phase. In addition to a full picture of phase evolution, the most important finding is that the Pt dopants actually act as chemical strain, generating local impurity states rather than injecting itinerant charge carriers [9]. The weakened intralayer Ir dimerization effectively leads to the recovery of polymeric Te bonds across layers, lifting the density of states at Fermi level and making the system more three-dimensional [9]. Therefore, it turns out that the higher dimensionality tips the balance in favor of superconductivity in this system. This work presents a coherent story and also stimulates us to think more about the role of strain in modulating new order.

#### References

- Morosan E, Zandbergen HW, Dennis BS et al (2006) Superconductivity in Cu<sub>x</sub>TiSe<sub>2</sub>. Nat Phys 2:544–550
- Sipos B, Kusmartseva AF, Akrap A et al (2008) From Mott state to superconductivity in 1T-TaS<sub>2</sub>. Nat Mater 7:960–965
- 3. Yang JJ, Choi YJ, Oh YS et al (2012) Charge-orbital density wave and superconductivity in the strong spin-orbit coupled IrTe<sub>2</sub>:Pd. Phys Rev Lett 108:116402
- Pyon S, Kudo K, Nohara M (2012) Superconductivity induced by bond breaking in the triangular lattice of IrTe<sub>2</sub>. J Phys Soc Jpn 81:053701
- 5. Fang AF, Xu G, Dong T et al (2013) Structural phase transition in  $IrTe_2$ : a combined study of optical spectroscopy and band structure calculations. Sci Rep 3:1153
- 6. Oh YS, Yang JJ, Horibe Y et al (2013) Anionic depolymerization transition in IrTe<sub>2</sub>. Phys Rev Lett 110:127209
- Hsu PJ, Mauerer T, Vogt M et al (2013) Hysteretic melting transition of a soliton lattice in a commensurate charge modulation. Phys Rev Lett 111:266401
- Pascut GL, Haule K, Gutmann MJ et al (2014) Dimerizationinduced cross-layer quasi-two-dimensionality in metallic IrTe<sub>2</sub>. Phys Rev Lett 112:086402
- 9. Ruan W, Tang PZ, Fang AF et al (2015) Structural phase transition and electronic structure evolution in  $Ir_{1-x}Pt_xTe_2$  studied by scanning tunneling microscopy. Sci Bull 60:798–805
- 10. Li Q, Lin WZ, Yan JQ et al (2014) Bond competition and phase evolution on the IrTe<sub>2</sub> surface. Nat Commun 5:5358

